

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X  
CHRIS PERSAUD,

Plaintiff,

**MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

-against-

16-cv-6877 (FB) (JO)

NAMEOKE 1 LLC, NAMEOKE  
EQUITIES LLC, NAMEOKE HOLDINGS  
LLC, NAMEOKE LLC, NAMEOKE  
REALTY CO., INC., SUPREME PLUS  
GROUP REALTY CORP., DAVID  
DECTER, TZUI HARRY FRENKEL,  
EDWARD FRIEDMAN, STEVEN  
GREENBAUM, MILA LIVSHITZ,  
MARK WEISSMAN,

Defendants.

-----X  
*Appearances:*

*For Plaintiff:*

MATTHEW PAUL MADZELAN  
Slater Slater Schulman LLP  
445 Broad Hollow Road, Suite 334  
Melville, New York 11747

**BLOCK, Senior District Judge:**

On May 8, 2017, Magistrate Judge James Orenstein issued a Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) recommending that the Court dismiss plaintiff’s complaint with prejudice. No objections have been filed to date, and the opportunity to object has passed.

Where there are no objections, the Court may adopt the R&R without de novo review. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149–50 (1985); *Mario v. P & C Food Mkts.*,

*Inc.*, 313 F.3d 758, 766 (2d Cir. 2002) (“Where parties receive clear notice of the consequences, failure timely to object to a magistrate’s report and recommendation operates as a waiver of further judicial review of the magistrate’s decision.”). The Court must conduct de novo review if it appears that the magistrate judge may have committed plain error. *See Spence v. Superintendent, Great Meadow Corr. Facility*, 219 F.3d 162, 174 (2d Cir. 2000). No such error appears here. Accordingly, the Court adopts the R&R without de novo review and dismisses plaintiff’s complaint with prejudice.

**SO ORDERED.**

/S/ Frederic Block  
FREDERIC BLOCK  
Senior United States District Judge

Brooklyn, New York  
June 28, 2017